

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,417	06/05/2006	Fabien Lanteires	PSA0307692	5451
29980 NICOLAS E. :	7590 09/19/200 SECKEL	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Patent Attorney 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			CHANG, CHING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	A 1, D C 20050	3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,417	LANTEIRES, FABIEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHING CHANG	3748		

	CHING CHANG	3748						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request					
periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the data set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externous of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to the second second term of						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorroopending number of imany reje	otoa diamilo.						
	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amandment (	PTOL-324)					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	ovable ii sabilittea iii a separate, t	intery filed differience	it carrocarry tric					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) will	be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	vided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: 21 and 22.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-8.14 and 16-18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.9-13.15.19 and 20</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	/Ching Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3748						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner disagrees with the Attorney's contention, "Sicklet fails to teach or suggest the method of the present invention which includes" a combustion share for the airfuld mixture contained in the chamber," as recited in present claim 1." (See Page 3, Attorney's Remarks). As a matter of fact, Sickler reference does disclose "However, in each instance the engine continues to operate in the standard four-stroke cycle mode so as to produce one compression release event per cylinder for every two crankshaft revolutions. "(See Col. 2, lines 23-27), and "During the retarding mode of operation, the fuel supply is shut off or reduced so that little or no fuel is injected into the engine cylinder." (See Col. 4, lines 15-17), accordingly, the Examiner deems that the Sickler method would include a combustion phase for the airfuled initiative contained in the chamber, as recited in present claim 1, during an operating cycle which include a retarding event, in order to continuously support a proper engine operation.